Page 1 of 4

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK NEW YORK COUNTY

PRESENT:	HON. LYLE E, FRANK	PART	11M	
	Justice			
	X	INDEX NO.	653793/2022	
HOWARD W	CHER, JEFFREY CITRON, SID DAVIDOFF, EISS, IAN BRANDT, LESLIE BARBARA,	MOTION DATE	N/A	
COSTELLO,	APETANAKIS, ADAM CITRON, ROBERT SEAN CROWLEY, ARTHUR GOLDSTEIN, RANT, CHARLES KLEIN, JOSH	MOTION SEQ. NO.	001	
WILLIAM MA ERIC PRZYB MARTIN SAN WALZER, MI JUDITH ACK ASHWINI JA' RICHARD WELL GEWIRT: MICHAEL KA FEDERICA P PRABHAKAF JAMES GLUG JOHN CORR ROBERT LEV	Y, GARY LERNER, ELLIOT LUTZKER, CK, STEVE MALITO, HOWARD PRESANT, YLKO, ROBERT RATTET, PETER RIPIN, MSON, STEVE SPANOLIOS, WILLIAM CHAEL WEXELBAUM, DEREK WOLMAN, ERMAN, NICK ANTENUCCI, MYRON RABIJ, YARATNAM, ALEXANDER MCBRIDE, OLTER, STEVEN APPELBAUM, MAX DUVAL, Z, DANIEL GOLDENBERG, CAROLINE HALL, ATZ, DAVID LEVINE, BENJAMIN NOREN, ANTANA, JOSEPH POLITO, ASHWAN! R, NICOLE SANTO, MICHAEL APPELBAUM, CKSMAN, JOSEPH ASIR, HENRY CITTONE, IGAN, WILLIAM COX, JOHN KIERNAN, VINE, MARK SPUND, NICHOLAS TERZULLI, E VICTOR, DAVIDOFF HUTCHER & CITRON,	DECISION + O MOTIC		
	Plaintiff,			
	- V -			
MADISON SO HAROLD WE	QUARE GARDEN ENTERTAINMENT CORP., IDENFELD,			
	Defendant.			
	Х			
The following 6 21, 22, 23, 24, 55, 59, 60, 61,	e-filed documents, listed by NYSCEF document num 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 43, 44, 62, 63	ber (Motion 001) 2, 16, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50	3, 17, 18, 19, 20, 0, 51, 52, 53, 54,	
were read on t	nis motion to/for INJUNCT	INJUNCTION/RESTRAINING ORDER .		
Upon	the foregoing documents and after oral argumen	nt the plaintiffs' orde	er to show cause	
for a prelimin	ary injunction is granted in part. The Court first	t notes that it appear	s that the	
plaintiffs are r	oo longer challenging the ability of the defendar	nts to not sell tickets	to the plaintiffs	
or to revoke ti	ckets previously sold except for a small carve o	ut just before the ev	ent in question;	

653793/2022 HUTCHER, LARRY ET AL vs. MADISON SQUARE GARDEN ENTERTAINMENT

CORP. ET AL Motion No. 001 NYSCEF DOC. NO. 64

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 11/14/2022

thus, that part of the plaintiffs' order to show cause is denied. The plaintiffs however are continuing to challenge the defendants' ability to refuse admittance to certain locations when one or more of the plaintiffs have a valid ticket. This challenge is in the plaintiff's complaint as its third cause of action, and is in the instant order to show cause as iii.¹

The plaintiffs' remaining contention is granted for the reasons indicated below. The Court agrees with the plaintiffs' interpretation of Civil Rights Law Section 40(b). That statute is clear. If a person is 21 or older and behaves appropriately at certain venues set forth in this statute, they cannot be denied entry to the event. This is clearly an exception to the common law ability of owners of certain private venues rom excluding others. The Court agrees with plaintiffs that there are no qualifications as to this statute. The stature allows for such entry a reasonable time before the event to start. The Court interprets that to meet once the doors of the location are open to the general public.

The Court is also not convinced by the defendants' argument that plaintiff do not have a private right of action. As defendant's counsel himself acknowledged, a private right of action for injunctive relief is still available when the person seeking such an injunction can demonstrate irreparable harm will result. The Court has previously held that irreparable harm would result without a injunctive relief, due to the intangible of being able to see a unique theatrical performance. The Court takes judicial notice that there is only one Radio City Music Hall, one Beacon Theater, and only one Madison Square Garden. As such, the plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief. The Court also believes that the balance of the equities continues to favor plaintiffs for the reasons previously indicated by this Court, that there appears to be no rational basis for the policy instituted by the defendants except to dissuade attorneys from bringing suit

653793/2022 HUTCHER, LARRY ET AL vs. MADISON SQUARE GARDEN ENTERTAINMENT CORP. ET AL Motion No. 001

Page 2 of 4

¹ The Court will not address defendant's contention if a manufactured emergency. There were apparently tickets in question for an event on November 10. As that date has come and gone, that issue is moot.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 64

against them. The concern that the defendants could be prejudiced by allowing attorneys who are representing those who have brought action against the defendant to attend events with thousands of other people is unavailing to this Court.

Based om the foregoing, the plaintiffs may not be denied entry into any shows where they possess a valid ticket (valid notwithstanding the policy of defendants), regardless of who purchased such tickets, for Radio City Music Hall, the Beacon Theater, the Hulu Theater at Madison Square Garden, and Madison Square Garden itself when there are concerts scheduled.²

Notwithstanding the above, the defendant may refuse to sell tickets to the plaintiffs, and may revoke tickets of the plaintiffs up until the time they present such tickets for entry into the locations and for the events listed above.

The Court has reviewed the remaining contentions of the parties and find them unavailing. Based on the foregoing, it is hereby

ORDERED that plaintiffs' order to show cause is granted in part in that the defendants are enjoined from denying access to a person presenting a valid ticket in the day of an event after the venue opens to the public at Radio City Music Hall, the Beacon Theater, the Hulu Theater at Madison Square Garden, and Madison Square Garden when such venue is holding a theatrical performance or a musical concert; and it is further

ADJUDGED that the remainder of the relief sought in the order to show cause is denied.

² Civil Rights Law Section 40(b) explicitly lists the types of performances that are subject to this law. Sporting events are not covered by this statute. As such, there is no basis for enjoining the defendants from denying access to the plaintiffs for sporting events. The only events at Madison Square Garden proper that are subject to this statute at present are theatrical performances and musical concerts. Whole the other locations would require access for all events, these locations would also be limited to events that fall within 40(b).

INDEX NO. 653793/2022

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 64 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 11/14/2022

11/14/2022	_	20221134110958LFRANK8E8F3/6050194FCA884CA66BAC6B647D
DATE		LYLE E. FRANK, J.S.C.
CHECK ONE:	CASE DISPOSED	X NON-FINAL DISPOSITION
	GRANTED DENIED	X GRANTED IN PART OTHER
APPLICATION:	SETTLE ORDER	SUBMIT ORDER
CHECK IF APPROPRIATE:	INCLUDES TRANSFER/REASSIGN	FIDUCIARY APPOINTMENT REFERENCE